

# Minutes of April 14, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: **Kenji Kazumura**

IBM: **Daniel Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson. Ian**

Oracle: **Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov, Bill Shannon, Ed Bratt**

Payara: **Eliot Martin**

Red Hat: **John Clingan, Scott Stark**

Tomitribe: **David Blevins, Cesar Hernandez**

Participant member representative: **Martijn Verburg** (LJC)

Committer member representative: **Arjan Tjims**

(Quorum is 4 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: **Paul Buck, Tanja Obradovic, Paul White, Mike Milinkovich, Shabnam Mayel, Ivar Grimstad**

## **Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings** (5 minutes)

Minutes of the March 31 Meeting were approved.

Minutes of the April 7 meeting will be reviewed next time.

## **Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls (Update from last meeting Below) - (5 minutes)**

- **Update on Virtual CN4J day/JakartaOne Livestream Event**
  - Moved to May 12 and registration site live
  - Distribution of content for social media promotion underway.
  - Kubecon rescheduled - day zero would be August 13th.
    - Exploring move of Day 0 sponsorship to NA event in November
- Discussion on “Driving people to spec project lists”
  - Marketing Committee has commented on branding concerns and a series of recommendations has been provided
    - Received and confirmed revision for sticker
    - Created version for virtual May Livestream
    - Advice on tagging forthcoming from Marketing Committee
  - Follow up from discussion last week
    - Is it possible to get a “unique people” count of spec list participants

- Each individual counts as 1 if participating across multiple specs
    - Tanja had initiated a similar request (bug open), and created a new bug reflecting request above:
      - [https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show\\_bug.cgi?id=558926](https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=558926)
    - Do not have the “unique count” at this time, but the following provides a measure of mailing list participants, and has been updated for March:
      - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dkiob\\_WklkGOclt7XWnL1uRNMRer8\\_fOo92Q5YrH40g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dkiob_WklkGOclt7XWnL1uRNMRer8_fOo92Q5YrH40g/edit#gid=0)
  - The intent is to measure and determine how we can increase the count
- **Jakarta EE developer survey is out**
  - <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Z9WBXSC>
  - Collector links:
    - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1--XAkXjT-ve-KbzzqzHF1ETQgnoP85rYEaHxRuZVeEs/edit#gid=213240262>
  - Please support in social media channels
  - Over 500 surveys so far
- The following items are carried over from prior meetings
  - **Jakarta EE Update Calls**
    - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F\\_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#)
    - Call April 8 - limited update (Ivar, Tanja, Shabnam) - Ivar - steps to help with delivery of Jakarta EE 9
    - For May need topics like TCK discussion, Technical directions, roadmap, etc. to be covered
  - **Jakarta Tech Talks** - Tanja is open for suggestions
    - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJeJMYg370tum5mi7zl4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0>
    - All topics for Cloud Native Java will be considered. Looking for more presentation referrals.
  - Foundation has created a list of enabling JUGs. Looking for members to sign up and present on Jakarta EE at JUGs.
    - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YDTAaynuhINVBK-CIb4XAW7gVw0TLu5R0uV3FUkV20/edit#gid=0>
    - Need members that are engaged with JUGs to present to their local JUG communities - spark interest and then spread the knowledge and relationship further
  - Crowdcast for JUGs. Was discussed last time and has been completed and already available for JUGs to use. Good response so far:
    - <https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1Yn9EmzHIFIBwZKa8jDVQNWZXdtrxnDmX>
- JakartaOne Livestream Brazil

- August 29th - tentative - working with SouJava team
- Expect communication requesting Portugese speaker participation. Anyone interested in serving on the program committee, contact Ottavio Otávio Gonçalves de Santana <otaviopolianasantana@gmail.com>).
- (Marketing Plan had planned for sponsorship for [JakartaOne Livestream](#) also see [planned budget](#))
- RACI for website ownership - please review and volunteer for “columns”. No feedback on this so far.
  - [Maintainers document](#)
  - [RACI spreadsheet](#)
- Studio Jakarta EE
  - <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCNqGDYQp1etqHB4FqsgaHZ>  
[A](#)

### **Jakarta EE Member Elections - Call for Nominations; Q1 2020 Ops Review (5 minutes)**

- See Tanja’s mail from April 13 on nomination date extension to April 24, including the information below.  
Nominations may be sent to [elections@eclipse.org](mailto:elections@eclipse.org). Please use the subject line of "Jakarta EE Working Group Elections".

#### **Election Schedule (Adjusted)**

Nomination Period: March 30 - April 24, 2020

Election Period: May 1 - May 15, 2020

Winning Candidates Announced: May 21, 2020

The following positions will be filled as part of this election:

#### **Steering Committee**

One seat allocated for Participant Members

One seat allocated for Committer Members

#### **Specification Committee**

One seat allocated for Participant Members

One seat allocated for Committer Members

#### **Marketing and Brand Committee**

One seat allocated for Participant Members

One seat allocated for Committer Members

A reminder there is no requirement to hold elections for Enterprise Members.

Please feel free to reach out to Tanja Obradovic and/or Ivar Grimstad, with questions.

- Jakarta EE Q1 2020 Operational Review - Meeting time based on Google poll
  - April 22 10AM EDT - Invitation sent
  - Zoom Meeting
  - <https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/93302677375?pwd=NW5vMDVHNkJKd3poT2pWeldBakdyUT09>
    - Meeting ID: 933 0267 7375
    - Password: 353327

### Jakarta EE 9 (25 minutes)

- Kevin Sutter led the discussion on this topic.
- The following is a link to the Jakarta EE 9 Status doc that we discussed at last week's Steering Committee meeting and reviewed in detail on April 14:
  - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1e6s7ku8YtDw4xQYba6Mli0-UA4tO-eZh6D32ZjhYfBM/edit?usp=sharing>
- Based on input during the review at the Spec Committee, Kevin requested that, in addition to the current overall Jakarta EE 9 status agenda item, that two additional items be added to the Steering Committee agenda to track the progress of:
  - Eclipse Glassfish 6.0 (compatible implementation) and
  - Jakarta EE 9 Platform TCK.
- All three of these are very much related, but we have different people or organizations driving the efforts. It was suggested that:
  - Steve Millidge or potentially Eliot Martin could report on the Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 implementation status
  - The TCK Dev team nominate someone to report on the Platform TCK status
- There is a proposal at the end of the doc which states an alternative to the June 2020 delivery date.
  - Proposal for Steering Committee: Move final release of Jakarta EE 9 out to Fall 2020 (leaving it open to line up either with CodeOne or EclipseCon). But, continue to push for a Milestone or Release Candidate release for Jakarta EE 9 in June 2020.
  - This will allow some of the early Wave candidates (not dependent on the Platform) to continue the work to complete their releases.
  - This Milestone or Release Candidate release will not be 100% TCK compliant, but should be far enough along to show solid progress (80% successful).
  - Encourage tool vendors to pick up this preliminary release to shore up support for Jakarta EE 9 in preparation for the official Fall release.
- The consensus of the Steering Committee was that, while the June 2020 data appears aggressive and unlikely to be achieved, and targeting a Milestone or Release Candidate release is a reasonable goal, that more data on the overall project status is required, including Spec status, Eclipse GF status, and TCK status, before defining a new

schedule and selecting a new final release date with a factual basis for the schedules. We will need to come back to this in the next Steering Committee meeting.

- Update on tooling:
  - Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBiHqKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail\\_link#gid=1810653774](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBiHqKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774)
  - Per prior meetings David did an initial (not complete) scan/analysis of Spring jars in Maven Central for Java EE/Jakarta EE dependencies which suggests the potential impact of the API changes. See below.
    - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1NOVFZhra4sRHsI1QMLVmCcD58RMD87RfP0WhVQg-PsM/edit#gid=1022962872>
  - In the April 14 meeting, David indicated that he did not believe we would make progress engaging with tooling vendors until a Milestone Release was available.

#### **Jakarta EE and MicroProfile (20 minutes)**

- Mike Milinkovich had proposed the following resolution at the request of the Steering Committee, and had requested a vote by the Steering Committee in the April 7 meeting.

Resolved, that the Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee agrees in principle with the proposal to create a Cloud Native for Java Umbrella Working Group.

- The conclusion of the April 7 discussion was that the vote would be deferred until April 14, at which time members should be prepared to vote and provide a 1-2 line summary of their comments.
- Will Lyons reworded the resolution to use the term “concept” to replace the term “proposal” to clarify whether there is agreement, or not, with the concept, leaving the details of the current proposal aside. The phrase, “initially comprising the Jakarta EE Working Group and the (to be formed) MicroProfile Working Group” was added to clarify the essential concept.

Resolved, that the Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee agrees in principle with the concept of creating a Cloud Native for Java Umbrella Working Group, initially comprising the Jakarta EE Working Group and the (to be formed) MicroProfile Working Group.

It was noted that the concept of such a Cloud Native for Java Umbrella Working Group has draft proposal which is provided for reference below, but a vote on the resolution above would not be a vote on the details of the current draft of the proposal

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pV2n0iZkgdaIOaaZMZuTmSPDyjVKZBS5gg7Y1-P\\_RHU/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pV2n0iZkgdaIOaaZMZuTmSPDyjVKZBS5gg7Y1-P_RHU/edit)

- Will Lyons moved for a vote on the resolution and after discussion there were no seconds to the motion. The resolution was tabled.