Jakarta EE Spec Committee - December 15th, 2021

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Tom Watson - IBM - **Emily Jiang**
Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**
Andrew Pielage - Payara
Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little, Scott Marlow
David Blevins - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, **Cesar Hernandez**
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member
Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:
- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the December 1st meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progressing through the [JESP](#)
  - Jakarta EE 10 Plan Review - Candidate specifications
    - PRs https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls
    - Project Board https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/projects/1
    - Release Plan https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee10/JakartaEE10ReleasePlan
    - Wiki https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/wiki/JakartaEE10
- **Call-to-action:** Getting Jakarta EE 10 release ballots underway [Mentors]
  - Agreed - Any ballots that commence before December 23rd will be run for 4 weeks
  - Mentor Checklist: https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/blob/master/spec_review_checklist.md
  - Scott to update the spreadsheet to order spec ballots into "stages"

- **Resource utilization problems**, need a notion of umbrella committers to help triage release issues and understaffing of spec projects. Due to the large number of individual spec projects and associated committer lists, it is difficult to pitch in with even basic things like staging a build, labelling issues, etc.
  - Hard to swap resources & skills across the spec projects? In particular with administrative items. Could the platform project members be assigned for a release?
  - BAU: Could
    - i) Add a committer via the EDP
    - ii) Working group members ability to assign a committer to a project. Requires a 1 week election step
    - iii) Use the GitHub triage feature to be used here in place of full commit access Cannot stage builds.
  - Are there specific projects that need help?
    - Security (done)
    - Web projects may need help? Faces
    - Need projects to respond with what mentor help they require. Platform Project can assign members to assist individual spec projects.
- The Jakarta Commons project proposal is open for comments, here is the link to the project proposal: https://projects.eclipse.org/proposals/jakarta-commons
  - Please feel free to review the proposal and share your comments & feedback via this GitLab issue
- Proposal for a "Jakarta Data" specification project is being drafted
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1n1QSL3uRvfRv0Ggw5N4xO68Q61_pVF6XRM90MN3tTsE/edit
- Creation Review ballot expected in the new year for Jakarta RPC. Currently resolving issues related to project (EDP) creation.
- Moving spec projects repos that are currently in github.com/eclipse-ee4j that are the spec APIs and spec documents to github.com/jakartae
  - See email thread https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg02080.html
  - Defer to after Jakarta EE 10
- **Topics for future meetings:**
  - "TCK Archive Format", see [jakarta.ee-spec.committee] [External] : Re: TCK archive format? (Consider allowing JAR or ZIP)
  - The EFSP has been updated to EFSP 1.3, the Specification Committee needs to review and consider future updates to the JESP 1.3 [reference November 3rd

minutes]

- **Note**: The first call of the Specification Committee in 2022 is on January 12th at the usual time.